

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/506,664 | 05/02/2005 | Andrzej Czernecki | POL0005-PCT | 5014 | |
| 36183 PAUL HAST | 7590 03/13/200 INGS, JANOFSKY & V | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| 875 15th Street, NW | | | TOWA, RENE T | | |
| Washington, I | OC 20005 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3736 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 03/13/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/506,664 | CZERNECKI ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| RENE TOWA | 3736 | |
| | | |

| | RENE TOWA | 3/36 | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date to have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the ste forth in (a) above, if checked. Any pepty received by the Office error may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS | thin the time period set forth in 37 | CFR 41.37(a). | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | Callea | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con | | | cause | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | | lucing or simplifying the | ne issues for | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | orresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | t canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an e | planation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 3.4 and 8-19. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but Since Applicant's arguments are conditional upon entry or reasons provided above, the Applicant's arguments are re- | of the newly-submitted claims, which moot. In view of the foregoing, the | h claims will not be er | tered for the | | | |
| has been considered but fails to place the case in conditi | | | | | | |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | |

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736

/Max Hindenburg/

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's newly submitted daims include new limitations such as "wherein whjen the device is in as first stable position before the push element is pushed, the drive spring is held within a first distance between the face of the push element and the pistion' at lines 8-10 of claim 3. As such, Applicant's newly submitted claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.